4-25-02



THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

TENNIS RACQUET EQUIPPED) Art Unit: 3711			
WITH A TENNIS BALL RETRIEVER) Serial No.: 09/655,743			
Alice H. Howe) Docket No.: MPH 99-46			
Filed: 09/06/00	j			

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box AF 2900 Crystal Drive Arlington, VA 22202-3513

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith to the United States Patent and Trademark Office are the following:

- 1. Transmittal Form PTO/SB/21, including Certificate of Mailing
- 2. Response to Office Action Paper No. 9, the Final Rejection
- 3. Return Receipt Postcard

Dated this 18th day of April, 2002.

Respectfully submitted,

m. pd Hand

M. Paul Hendrickson Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 24523

Post Office Box 508 Holmen, Wisconsin 54636-0508 Phone: 608-526-4422 Fax: 608-526-2711

RECEIVED

PTO/SB/21 (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. **Application Number**

09/655,743 **TRANSMITTAL** Filing Date 09/06/2000 **FORM First Named Inventor** Alice W. Howe (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Group Art Unit 3711 Raleigh W. Chiu **Examiner Name** MPH 99-46 30 Total Number of Pages in This Submission **Attorney Docket Number ENCLOSURES** (check all that annly)

		LIVUL	COUNTED 1	MCCK an	nat apply)		
Fee Transmittal For	m		nent Papers Application)		After Allowance Comi to Group	munication	
Fee Attache	d	Drawing(s)			Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
Amendment / Reply		Licensin	ng-related Papers		Appeal Communication (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply)		
After Final		Petition			Proprietary Information	on ·	
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Provisio	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Status Letter		
Extension of Time F	Request	Change Address		n x	Other Enclosure(s) (ridentify below):	olease	
Express Abandonn	nent Request		al Disclaimer		C	TC 3:	
Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		CD, Number of CD(s)				APR 2	
		Remarks "The Making of				A 4	
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application		pages 1-9, fax received from Wilsons Sporting Goods, leading tennis Dal					
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		o e	manufacturer.		- 00M		
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT							
Firm or Individual name	M. Paul Hendrickson, Attorney at Law						
Signature	m. Pd Hale						
Date	04/18/2002						
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING							

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING							
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231 on this date: 4/18/2002							
Typed or printed name	Nangy J. Zaborowski						
Signature	Whalavoki	Date	4/18/2002				

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take/0.2 fours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the Individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.



In re application of:

Alice H. Howe

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No: 09/655,743

Art Unit 3711

Examiner: Raleigh W. Chiu

Attorney Docket No.: MPH 99-46) Filing Date:

ing Date: 9/06/2000

Commissioner for Patents and Trademarks BOX AF 2900 Crystal Drive Arlington, VA 22202-3513

TENNIS RACQUET EQUIPPED

WITH A TENNIS BALL RETRIEVER

APR 29 2002

Holor 4300 d

RESPONSE

This communication is in response to Office Action Paper No. 9, the Final Rejection of February 21, 2002 rejecting Claims 1-15 under 35 U.S. C. 103(c).

REMARKS

The final rejection is wrong. The Applicant accordingly submits that the final rejection as being in error, premature and without factual or legal foundation and accordingly, should be withdrawn and the claims allowed.

The Examination fails to consider the unbiased facts of record, fails to establish what each reference (as a whole) teaches, arbitrarily combines references in a manner totally contradictory to the reference teachings, disregards crucial claimed limitations (failing to cite any patent or reference teaching the unique claimed limitation), fails to provide a reference teaching disclosing untaught matters, and relies upon false pretense of an admission of equivalency to justify a fabricated assertion of obviousness. The deficiencies of the Examination become further compounded by a forthright disregard of the Commissioner of Patents directive to follow the legal standards of patentability as clearly set forth in Chapter 21 of the M.P.E.P.

hor.